



Safety recommendation no. 8

Date of the publication	28.12.2016
Number of the final report	2288
Safety deficit	<p>Both of the aircraft involved in the serious incident were fitted with Flarm technology collision warning systems. The touring motor glider's Flarm device was configured as type 1, which is the device's factory configuration and resulted in a 'glider' voice notification in the helicopter's Flarm device.</p> <p>However, the touring motor glider was almost exclusively, and also in this case, operated as a motorised aircraft, which means a configuration as type 8, 'powered aircraft', would have been more appropriate and probably would have made the visual search for aircraft easier, too. The appropriate configuration of the Flarm systems is important because the configuration influences the algorithms that are used and an inappropriate configuration can therefore result in warning characteristics that are somewhat less than perfect. In addition, the configuration determines the type of aircraft which is reported to the other transport users and thereby possibly influences the way in which they watch out for unidentified traffic. In a previous investigation of an airprox between two helicopters, the inappropriate configuration of a Flarm device already had a certain influence on the serious incident.</p>
Safety recommendation	All operators of Flarm systems should ensure that the configuration of the devices is appropriate and complies with the intended use and purpose of the aircraft in question.
Investigation report concerning the safety recommendation	<u>Schlussbericht</u>